

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Telephone Conference with Examiner

It was a pleasure to speak with the Examiner on the telephone on July 27, 2005. In that teleconference, the Examiner indicated that the application is in condition for allowance and that withdrawn Claims 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18 will be allowable except that they are dependent on canceled Claim 11. The Examiner recommended making those Claims dependent on a Claim that was not canceled.

The attached listing of Claims amends Claims 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18 to be dependent on Claim 34. Claim 34 is an independent claim that is a combination of original claims 1 and 11.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that this response places Applicant's application in condition for allowance, and therefore further and favorable action on this application is requested. If for any reason the Examiner has any questions about the claims or the statements made in this response, Applicant's attorney respectfully requests the Examiner to contact Applicant's attorney by telephone to set up an interview. It is believed that such an interview will be helpful in placing the application in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

JEAN-FRANCOIS DURETTE

By: 

Ryan N. Carter
Attorney for Applicant

Ryan N. Carter
Shuttleworth & Ingersoll, PLC
115 3rd Street SE, Suite 500
P.O. Box 2107
Cedar Rapids, IA 52406
Phone: (319) 365-9461
Fax: (319) 365-8443
Email: rnc@shuttleworthlaw.com
Reg. No.: 51,533
July 27, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 7-27-05


Ryan N. Carter, Registered Representative